[illegible] 193[illegible] Department.

[illegible] SERIES.

G.O. No. 609

DATED 24. 5. 37

[Despatch Abstract.]

Assessment - South Kanara - Areca gardens - Mahali pest - Remissi[illegible]

[illegible] Extended to wet lands - containing areca gardens.

C.F. 31—13,206—14-12-

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT No. 1760 E

Received 19 Registered 30·4·37 19

Subject.

Assessment -(South Kanara) -~~Areca~~ Areca gardens - Mahali pest - remission.

Refce. M. No. 4307/36-12

Station Madras, *Dated the* 29th April 1937 19

From

D.I.R. Muir Esq., I.C.S.,

Joint Secretary,

Board of Revenue.

C.A. Henderson Esq., V.D., I.C.S.,

Commissioner of Land Rev. & Sett.

Read the following paper:-

Letter from T.A.Verghese Esq., I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara, Ref.A5-4431-36 dated 13th April 1937.

Reference:

Submitted to the Government.

2. The Board submits that there will be cases of areca palms growing on registered dry and wet lands not sufficiently close together to be treated as 'garden' according to the settlement definition. The concession sanctioned in G.O.No.Mis.479 Revenue dated 3-3-37 does not presumably apply to such cases as the Government order refer

dependent on areca for a return. But there will be gardens i.e. fields up to garden standard registered as wet or dry. Such fields registered as wet will be garden in all but registry as transfers from wet to garden were not allowed at resettlement and some of the palms may be of many years standing. The Board considers that the concession should be given to such lands. As regards fields registered as dry, on which gardens are grown, though transfers from dry to garden were made at resettlement, there may yet be a few cases of fields which have qualified for transfer after resettlement but which have not been so transferred by the Revenue Department These fields will be paying only dry rates instead of garden. As the difference between the dry and garden rates is considerable unlike wet and garden rates, the Board is of opinion that no remission in respect of this dry assessment is necessary.

3. The Collector will be informed that his instructions regarding the calculation of remission at 50 per cent when the yield is only four annas are in order and that he may submit his report on the total amount remitted under G.O.No.Mis.479 Revenue, dated 3-3-37 by the end of this month

Ramachandran.
for Joint Secretary. 29.4.37

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
,, Collector of South Kanara.

VN/29.4.

Letter from the Collector of South Kanara, Ref.A5-4431-3 dated 13th April 1938.

Assessment -(South Kanara) -Areca gardens -Mahali pest· Remissions sanctioned -Amount involved -Report.

Board's Refce. No.M.4307-36-11 dated 5-3-37.

Orders have been duly issued to the Tahsildars concern to give effect to the remission sanctioned by the Governme and to report the amount remitted. but, some of the Tahsildars, have since brought to my notice some doubtful points and I have issued instructions to them in the light of the Government Order. I enclose for the Board's information copies of the instructions issued by me. I do not therefore think it likely that all the Tahsildars will be able to furnish the information called for in a complete form before the end of this month. I request that time may be granted to me till the end of this month to submit my report.

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara dated e 5th April 1937.

T.A.Verghese Esq., I.C.S.,

Assessment -Remission -South Kanara -Arecanuts -Maha pest -Remission -Instructions issued.

In G.O.No.Ms.No.479 Revenue Department dated 3-3-37 e Government have sanctioned remission of assessment in e case of arecanut gardens affected Mahali disease in

Kasargod, Coondapur, Karkal and Puttur taluks. The Tahsildar of Puttur taluk has solicited instructions as to whether the remission of assessment sanctioned in the above G.O. can be granted in the case of registered, wet or dry lands on which areca gardens affected by the Mahali disease exist. The spirit of the Government order is clear. From the Government order it appears that the Government have decided to grant remission to all the affected gardens. The Collector does not consider that all the word 'garden' as used in the G.O. has the restricted meaning of garden as used in the classification of lands as 'wet' 'dry' or 'garden'. By areca garden the Government have meant all fields where areca palms are grown irrespective of the fact that the classification of the field is wet or dry. Ordinarily the difference between the wet and garde assessment on the same plot of land is inconsiderable. The Government could not have intended to penalise the owners of areca nut palms who have converted their wet lands to garden lands. At the time of the resettlement all areca garden classified as dry lands were reclassified as garden lands and assessed at garden rates. So there could not be many areca gardens existing on dry lands now. The Tahsildar is informed that the remission sanctioned in the Government Order should be allow on all areca gardens irrespective of the fact that the field are registered as wet or garden in the Revenue registers.

2. The Collector understands that the Tahsildar Kasargod while preparing the remission lists omitted to show in a few cases of registered wet lands on which areca gardens existed

even though the gardens were entitled to remission. If there are any such cases in any of the Taluks, the Tahsildars should after local enquiries submit reports to the Collector recommending remissions, on those garde These lists have to be approved by the Collector before remission is granted. These lists, if any, should reach the Collector before 30-4-1937.

To the Tahsildars of Puttur, Kasargod, Coondapur and Far

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara dated the 11th April 1937.

Ref.C.No.A5-4431-36.

T.A.Verghese Esq.,I.C.S.,

Assessment -South Kanara - Areca gardens -Mahali pest -Remission sanctioned in G.O.No.Ms.479, Revenue dated 3-3-1937 -Instructions issued.

Refce:-Coondapur Tahsildar's Ref.A1-1583-37 dated 31-3-1937.

The Tahsildar is informed that remission should b calculated at 50 per cent when the yield is only four annas on the analogy of B.C.O. 14-5(ii).

Under B.C.O. 14-7(ii) remission of cesses does not follow on the remission of land revenue. The Tahsilda should therefore bear in mind that the Government have

sanctioned only the remission of assessment on the aff
recanut gardens in Kasargod, Coondapur, Karkal and Pu
eluks of this district and not the cesses.

(G.N. 1760 E 37) (Rev Dep.

(Assessment – South Kanara – Areca gardens – Malnad Dist. Remission – from the Board's Rev Reg M. 4307/36-12 & 25-4-37

To us.

Please see the B.D's Rpt in the C.J. The proposal of the B.D. Govt is that the remission sanctioned in G.O. No 479 Rev dated 3-3-1937 should be extended in respect of areca gardens in certain talukas of the S. Kanara district should be extended also to wet lands, which come up to the garden standard as defined in para 13 of the S. Kanara re-settlement notification. The garden standard referred to above is the existence of ten or more bearing coconut palms (or their equivalent in areca and certain other trees) to the, twelve areca palms being taken to be equal to one bearing coconut palm. In concessions may, it is submitted, be restricted to wet lands containing not less than 120 areca palms to the acre. A claim under this proposal a piece of wet-land, of one acre in extent, which contains 100 areca palms and two or more coconut palms, though coming up to the garden

? 120 palms to the acre

of G.O. No 42 Rev 5-1-35 ©

standard as defined in the resettlement registration, will not come within the scope of the concessions.

2. A draft order is sent for consideration. It may be abstract for instance

I agree with the note above. The reminders were granted in view the damages caused to areca by the pest. Please see also the second sentence in para 3 of A.O. 479 53. The concessions were granted in respect of areca gardens cultivated only with those palms but in view of A.I. at p 2 C.f. the concessions may be extended to garden lands regd as wet and containing not less than 120 areca plants to the acre, irrespective of the no of the trees or plants on the lands. The draft make this clear

I do not think that the statement at A. is strictly correct. It is true that H.M noted the ""these gardens are separately demarcated and cultiv -ed only with areca palms"" but there are likely to b cases where a garden land is a garden land by reason of containing 2 coconut trees and 80 areca palms. I presume that in such cases the field would be regarded as an areca garden and that remission, if called for, is being given.

If this presumption is correct, there is no need to restrict the concession in the case of wet lands which are garden on ground to those fields whic are purely areca garden and contain no other garden trees.

The only difference between a dry land a and a garden according to the prescribed standard a wet land converted to garden is that whereas the dry land was at resettlement classified as gard the classification of the wet land as garden was prohibited; but for the purpose of this remission the stand on the same footing, if affected by the pest.

I therefore do not think that it is necess to restrict the concession to wet lands which are wholly areca garden on ground viz which contain 120 palms to the acre.

The draft may therefore be revised as follows: "" As the remission etc was granted in view of the damage caused to areca palms by the Mahalai pest, the Govt. accept the Board 's recommend -ation and direct that the concession shall be applie to areca gardens standing on lands registered as wet but which have been converted into garden and come up to the standard prescribed for gardens in the resettlement notification"

Submitted that this dept. may agree
th the Revenue Secretary's proposal on p. 3
(No alteration in the Bye. for 1937-38 and
37 (16.5.37) VII Land Revenue need be made

Rr 2040E
19.5.37
dm no 14989 rec'd
17.5.37

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 MAY 1937
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT ... GOVT.
(1 37)
REVENUE ... MENT

TO n/s-

-draft order is sent for approval

Please see the Bd's ref at pp 1-
draft may issue.

19/V/37

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..	28/5	
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:—

29/28/5.

READ AGAIN Go. Ms. No. 479 Rev. dated 3 – 3 – 1937. (B)

" " " 19.

READ *ALSO*:—

No. 1760 E/37 From the Bd. of Rev. Mys. No. 4307/36-12, dated 29-4-1937.

" " " " " 19.

" " " " " 19.

Order ~~Resolution~~ Ms. No. 1092, dated 28 – 5 – 1937.

~~Op~~ Introduced 28/5/37

(Talaks ~~of~~ of the S. Kanara (B) district)

As the remission of assessment on areca gardens in the ~~taluk~~ of Kasaragod, Coondapur, Karkal and Puttur sanctioned in Go. No. 479 Rev. dated 3-3-1937 was granted in view of the damage caused to areca palm by the Mahali pest, the Govt. accept the Board's recommendation that the concession ~~should~~ could be applied to areca gardens standing on lands registered as wet but which have been converted into garde and which come up to the standard

re-settlement notification

2. The Bd. Rev. is requested to report urgently the land revenue remitted under Govt. No. 479 Rev dt 3.3.37, as mentioned in para 1 above.

19/5/37

21/5

(1931 E 3)

(13)

To Bd Rev ✓
" Sec. thro' Finance
" Finance ✓

Drft pd